Population Dynamics in a Patchy Space and Turing Bifurcation

A Thesis by Shaban Anwar Hassan Aly

> Supervised by Prof. Farkas Miklós (DSc)

Budapest University of Technology, Institute of Mathematics, Department of Differential Equations. (2005)

1 Introduction

The Turing bifurcation is the basic bifurcation generating spatial pattern, wherein an equilibrium of a nonlinear system is asymptotically stable in the absence of diffusion but unstable in the presence of diffusion. This lies at the heart of almost all mathematical models for patterning in ecology, embryology and elsewhere in biology and chemistry.

The classical approach to modeling ecological systems (Volterra 1931, Lotka 1924) simplifies by ignoring space completely and in essence assumes that the per capita growth rates of the participating species are linear functions of the quantities (densities) of the species.

The classical Lotka-Volterra model takes the form:

$$u_1 = u_1(r_1 - a_{11}u_1 - a_{12}u_2), \ u_2 = u_2(-r_2 + a_{21}u_1 - a_{22}u_2),$$
 (1)

where $r_i > 0$ is the growth or death rate, a_{ii} is the coefficient of intra-specific competition, $a_{ij} (i \neq j)$ is the coefficient of inter-specific competition.

A predator-prey model has received great attention in the last forty years in mathematical ecology due to its universal existence and importance.

A predator-prey model in which the predator consumes the prey with Holling type functional response (or ratio-dependent) take the form.

$$\dot{u}_1 = u_1(r_1 - a_{11}u_1 - \frac{u_2}{a + u_1}), \quad \dot{u}_2 = u_2(-r_2 + \frac{bu_1}{a + u_1} - a_{22}u_2).$$
(2)

where $r_1 > 0$ and $-r_2 < 0$ are the intrinsic growth rate and intrinsic mortality of the respective species, $a_{11} > 0$ and $a_{22} > 0$ represent the strength of the intraspecific competition (the competition within the species, $\frac{r_1}{a_{11}}$ is the carrying capacity for the prey), b > 0, a > 0 are the maximum birth rate and the half saturation constant of the predator, respectively. The meaning of the half saturation constant is that at $u_1 = a$ the specific growth rate $\frac{bu_1}{a+u_1}$ (called also a Holling type functional response) of the predator is equal to half its maximum b. The Holling type terms are more realistic than those in a Lotka-Volterra system because they increase with u_1 but do not tend to infinity and are concave down.

A predator-prey system of Cavani-Farkas type takes the form:

$$\dot{u}_1 = \varepsilon u_1 (1 - \frac{u_1}{K}) - \frac{\beta u_1 u_2}{\beta + u_1}, \\ \dot{u}_2 = -\frac{u_2 (\gamma + \delta u_2)}{1 + u_2} + \frac{\beta u_1 u_2}{\beta + u_1},$$
(3)

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is the specific growth rate of the prey in the absence of predation and without environmental limitation, $\beta > 0$, K > 0 are the conversion rate and carrying capacity with respect to the prey, respectively, $\gamma > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ are the minimal mortality and the limiting mortality of the predator, respectively (the natural assumption is $\gamma < \delta$). The advantage of this model over the more often used models is that here the predator mortality is neither a constant nor an unbounded function, still, it is increasing with the predator abundance.

2 The Aims and The Strategy

Because the relation between the organisms and the space seems to be essential to stability of an ecological system, the effect of diffusion on the possibility of species coexistence in an ecological community has been an important subject in population biology. The effects of self and cross-diffusion, Turing bifurcation and pattern formation are the subjects of this thesis.

One of the fundamental issues in spatial ecology is how explicit considerations of space alter the prediction of population models. Classical theories, such as diffusion-driven instability and meta-population dynamics which are developed via simple spatial population models, have profoundly increased our understanding of the issue. In this thesis I scrutinize these theories by considering more complicated processes of spatial interaction of populations. For this purpose I consider spatio-temporal models as systems of ODE which describe two-identical patch-two-species systems linked by migration, where the phenomenon of the Turing bifurcation occurs. In the models it is assumed that either the migration rate of each species is influenced only by its own density (self-diffusion) or that not only by its own but also by the other one's density (cross diffusion). I show that the equilibrium of a standard (self-diffusion) system may be either stable or unstable, a cross-diffusion response can stabilize an unstable equilibrium of standard system and destabilize a stable equilibrium of standard system. For the models I show that at a critical value of the bifurcation parameter the system undergoes a Turing bifurcation and numerical studies show that if the bifurcation parameter is increased through a critical value the spatially homogeneous equilibrium loses its stability and two new stable equilibria emerge. I conclude that the cross migration response is an important factor that should not be ignored when pattern emerges.

3 Main Results of My Work

I have checked how the strength and the type of the self-and cross-diffusion response affect the stability of these three type of interactions.

3.1 The Effect of a Self-Diffusion Response

I have considered a two-species model in a habitat of two identical patches linked by migration in which the migration rate of each species is influenced only by its own density, i.e. there is no response to the density of the other one described by the equations:

Let $u_i(t,j) :=$ density of species i in patch j at time t, $i = 1, 2; j = 1, 2; t \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(t,1) &= u_1(t,1)f_1(u_1(t,1), u_2(t,1)) + d_1(u_1(t,2) - u_1(t,1)), \\ \dot{u}_2(t,1) &= u_2(t,1)f_2(u_1(t,1), u_2(t,1)) + d_2(u_2(t,2) - u_2(t,1)), \\ \dot{u}_1(t,2) &= u_1(t,2)f_1(u_1(t,2), u_2(t,2)) + d_1(u_1(t,1) - u_1(t,2)), \\ \dot{u}_2(t,2) &= u_2(t,2)f_2(u_1(t,2), u_2(t,2)) + d_2(u_2(t,1) - u_2(t,2)), \end{aligned}$$
(4)

where $f_i(i = 1, 2)$ is continuously differentiable, $d_i > 0(i = 1, 2)$ is a constant characterizing the rate of migration when individuals of species *i* migrate from a certain patch according to Fick's law.

Lotka-Volterra system:

I have shown that instability of a uniform state can not arise via the well known Turing mechanism of diffusion driven instability.

Predator-prey model with Holling type II functional response:

Theorem 3.1.1: *If*

$$\Theta_1 - 2d_1 > 0 \text{ and } d_2 > d_{2crit} = \frac{(\Theta_2\Theta_3 - \Theta_1\Theta_4 + 2d_1\Theta_4)}{2(\Theta_1 - 2d_1)},$$
(5)

then Turing instability occurs.

Remark 3.1.1: *If*

$$\Theta_1 - 2d_1 < 0, \tag{6}$$

then self-diffusion never destabilizes the equilibrium $(\overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2, \overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2)$. Where

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{\overline{u}_1}{a_{11}(a+\overline{u}_1)} [(\frac{r_1}{a_{11}}-a)-2\overline{u}_1], \Theta_2 = \frac{\overline{u}_1}{a+\overline{u}_1}, \quad \Theta_3 = \frac{ab\overline{u}_2}{(a+\overline{u}_1)^2}, \quad \Theta_4 = a_{22}\overline{u}_2.$$
(7)

A predator-prey system of Cavani-Farkas type:

Theorem 3.1.2: If

$$\Phi_1 - 2d_1 > 0 \text{ and } d_2 > d_{2crit} = \frac{(\Phi_2 \Phi_3 - \Phi_1 \Phi_4 + 2d_1 \Phi_4)}{2(\Phi_1 - 2d_1)},$$
(8)

then Turing instability occurs. Remark 3.1.2: If

$$\Phi_1 - 2d_1 < 0, \tag{9}$$

then self-diffusion never destabilizes the equilibrium $(\overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2, \overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2)$.

Where

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{\varepsilon \overline{u}_1 (K - \beta - 2\overline{u}_1)}{K(\beta + \overline{u}_1)}, \ \Phi_2 = \frac{\beta \overline{u}_1}{\beta + \overline{u}_1}, \\ \Phi_3 = \frac{\beta^2 \overline{u}_2}{(\beta + \overline{u}_1)^2}, \ \Phi_4 = \frac{(\delta - \gamma)\overline{u}_2}{(1 + \overline{u}_2)^2}.$$
(10)

3.2 The Effect of a Cross-Diffusion Response

I have considered a two-species models in a habitat of two identical patches linked by migration in which the per capita migration rate of each species is influenced not only by its own but also by the other one's density, i.e. there is cross diffusion present described by the equations:

Let $u_i(t,j) :=$ density of species *i* in patch *j* at time *t*, *i* = 1, 2; *j* = 1, 2; *t* \in R.

$$\dot{u}_1(t,1) = u_1(t,1)f_1(u_1(t,1), u_2(t,1)) + d_1(\rho_1(u_2(t,2))u_1(t,2) - \rho_1(u_2(t,1))u_1(t,1)), \dot{u}_2(t,1) = u_2(t,1)f_2(u_1(t,1), u_2(t,1)) + d_2(\rho_2(u_1(t,2))u_2(t,2) - \rho_2(u_1(t,1))u_2(t,1)), \dot{u}_1(t,2) = u_1(t,2)f_1(u_1(t,2), u_2(t,2)) + d_1(\rho_1(u_2(t,1))u_1(t,1) - \rho_1(u_2(t,2))u_1(t,2)), \dot{u}_2(t,2) = u_2(t,2)f_2(u_1(t,2), u_2(t,2)) + d_2(\rho_2(u_1(t,1))u_2(t,1) - \rho_2(u_1(t,2))u_2(t,2)), (11)$$

where $f_i(i = 1, 2)$ is continuously differentiable, $d_i > 0(i = 1, 2)$ is a constant characterizing the rate of migration when individuals of species *i* migrate from a certain patch according to Fick's law, $\rho_i(u)(i = 1, 2)$ is a positive function of *u* characterizing the decrease or the increase of the rate of migration if it depends on the densities of the species.

Lotka-Volterra system:

Theorem 3.2.1: For competitive (or cooperative) type interaction: the equilibrium point $(\overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2, \overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2)$ is asymptotically stable if $\frac{\rho'_1}{\rho_1}, \frac{\rho'_2}{\rho_2}, \frac{\rho'_1 \rho'_2}{\rho_1 \rho_2}, d_1$ and d_2 are sufficiently small; if $\frac{\rho'_1}{\rho_1}, \frac{\rho'_2}{\rho_2}, \frac{\rho'_1 \rho'_2}{\rho_1 \rho_2}$ and either d_1 or d_2 are sufficiently large the $(\overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2, \overline{u}_1, \overline{u}_2)$ loses its stability by a Turing bifurcation.

Predator-prey model with Holling type II functional response:

Theorem 3.2.2: *If*

$$\Theta_1 - 2d_1\rho_1 > 0,$$
 (12)

and $\rho_2(\overline{u}_1)$ is sufficiently large then Turing instability occurs.

Remark 3.2.1: As I have mentioned in section 3.1, if $\Theta_1 - 2d_1 < 0$, holds and there is no cross-diffusion then the equilibrium remains stable for any $d_2 > 0$. Still $\Theta_1 - 2d_1\rho_1 > 0$ may hold, i.e. in this case only the cross-diffusion effect may destabilize the equilibrium.

A predator-prey system of Cavani-Farkas type:

Theorem 3.2.3: If

$$\Phi_1 - 2d_1\rho_1 > 0, \tag{13}$$

and $\rho_2(\overline{u}_1)$ is sufficiently large then Turing instability occurs.

Remark 3.2.2: As I have mentioned in section 3.1, if $\Phi_1 - 2d_1 < 0$, holds and there is no cross-diffusion then the equilibrium remains stable for any $d_2 > 0$. Still $\Phi_1 - 2d_1\rho_1 > 0$ may hold, i.e. in this case only the cross-diffusion effect may destabilize the equilibrium.

4 Conclusions

- I have considered spatio-temporal models as systems of ODE which describe twoidentical patch-two-species systems linked by migration, where the phenomenon of the Turing bifurcation occurs.
- I have presented a simple and straightforward way of deducing the characteristic polynomial of matrix in a form that can be applied to calculate the all eigenvalues analytical to determine the stability.
- I have shown that the equilibrium of a standard (self-diffusion) system may be either stable or unstable, a cross-diffusion response can stabilize an unstable equilibrium of standard system and destabilize a stable equilibrium of standard system. For the models I show that at a critical value of the bifurcation parameter the system undergoes a Turing bifurcation and numerical studies show that if the bifurcation parameter is increased through a critical value the spatially homogeneous equilibrium loses its stability and two new stable equilibria emerge, i.e. a cross migration response is an important factor that should not be ignored when pattern emerges.

Bibliography

- Allen, J.C., Mathematical models of species interactions in time and space, American Naturalist 109 (1975)319-342.
- [2] Almirantis, Y., Papageorgion, S., Cross-diffusion effects on chemical and biological pattern formation, J. Theor. Biol. 151 (1991) 289-311.
- [3] Bazykin, A. D., Nonlinear Dynamics of Interacting Populations. World Scientific, 1998.
- [4] Cavani M. Farkas M., Bifurcation in a predator-prey model with memory and diffusion II: Turing bifurcation. Acta Math. Hungar. 63 (1994) 375-393.
- [5] Crowley, P.H., Dispersal and stability of predator prey interactions. American Naturalist, 118 (1981) 673-701.
- [6] **Dieckmann U., Law R.** and **Metz J. A. J**., The Geometry of Ecological Interaction: Simplifying Spatial Complexity, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [7] Farkas M., Dynamical Models in Biology, Academic Press, 2001.
- [8] Farkas M., Two ways of modeling cross diffusion, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA., 30 (1997) 1225-1233.
- [9] Farkas M., Comparison of different ways of modelling cross-diffusion, DEDS, 7 No. 2 (1999) 121-137.
- [10] Fiedler, B. and Scheel, A., Spatio-temporal dynamics of reaction-diffusion patterns. in: Trends in Nonlinear Analysis (eds. M. Kirkilionis et al), (2003) 23-152.
- [11] Fisher, R. A., The wave of advance of advantageous genes. Ann. Eugen., London 7 (1937) 355-369.
- [12] Holling, C. S., The functional response of predators to prey density and its role in minicry and population regulation, Mem. Entomol. Sco. Canada, No. 45 (1965).
- [13] Holling, C. S., The functional response of invertebrate predators to prey density, Mem. Entomol. Sco. Canada, No. 58 (1966).
- [14] Holmes, E. E., Lewis, M. A., Banks, J. E. and Veit, R.R., Partial differential equations in ecology: spatial interactions and population dynamics. Ecology. 75 (1994) 17-29.
- [15] Huang Y., Diekmann O., Interspecific influence on mobility and Turing instability, Bull. Math. Biol. 65 (2003) 143-156.
- [16] Jansen V. A. A., Alun L., Local stability analysis of spatially homogeneous solutions of multi-parch systems, J. math. Biol. 41 (2000)232-252.

- [17] Lotka, A. J., Elements of Mathematical Biology, 1924, reprinted, New York: Dover, 1956.
- [18] Murray J. D., Mathematical Biology. Springer-Verlag. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York., 1993.
- [19] Murray, J. D. and Oster, G. F., Generation of biological pattern and form. IMA J. Math. Appl. Med. Biol. 1 (1984a) 51-75.
- [20] Murray, J. D. and Oster, G. F., Cell traction models for generating pattern and form in morphogenesis. J. Math. Biol. 19 (1984b) 265-279.
- [21] Nishiura, Y., Coexistence of infinitely many stable solutions to reaction diffusion systems in the singular limit, Dynamics Reported 3 (1994) 25-103.
- [22] Nishiura, Y., Far-From-Equilibrium Dynamics. Translations of Mathematical Monographs Vol. 209. American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [23] Okubo A., Diffusion and Ecological Problems: Mathematical Models, Berlin, Springer 1980.
- [24] Okubo, A. and Levin, S., Diffusion and Ecological Problems: Modern Perspectives, 2001.
- [25] Plahte, E., Pattern formation in discrete cell lattices. J. Math. Biol., 43 (2001) 411-445.
- [26] Sabelis M. W.and Diekmann O., Overall population stability despite local extinction: the stabilizing influence of prey dispersal from predator invaded patches, Theor. Popu. Biol. 34 (1988) 169-176.
- [27] Segel, L. A., Jackson, J. L., Dissipative structure: an explanation and an ecological example. J. Theor. Biol., 35 (1972) 545-559.
- [28] Takeuchi Y., Global Dynamical Properties of Lotka-Volterra system, World Scientific, 1996.
- [29] Turing A. M., The chemical basis of morphogenesis, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London B237, (1953) 37-72, reprinted: Bull. Math. Biol. 52 (1990) 153-197.
- [30] Volterra, V., Variazoni e uttuazioni del numero dindivdui in specie animal conviventi. Mem. Acad. Lincei. 2 (1926) 31-113. (Translated in: F.M. Scudo& J.R. Ziegler (1978) The Golden Age of Theoretical Ecology: 1923-1940. Lecture Notes in Biomathematics 22: 65-237. Springer, Berlin.).

The Author's publication

PhD. Results

- 1- Aly S., Farkas M., Bifurcation in a predator-prey model in patchy Environment with diffusion, Nonlinear Analysis:Real World Applications, 5 (2004) 519-526.
- 2- Aly S., Farkas M., Competition in patchy environment with cross diffusion, Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, 5 (2004) 589-595.
- 3- Aly S., Cooperation in patchy environment with cross-diffusion, Miskolc Math. Notes, 5 (2004) 83-90.
- 4- Aly S., Farkas M., Prey-predator in patchy environment with cross-diffusion, accepted for publication in Journal of Differential Equations and Dynamical System (DEDS).
- 5- Aly S., Farkas M., Bifurcation in a predator-prey model in patchy environment with cross-diffusion, accepted for publication in Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Math.
- 6- Aly S. Stable oscillations in a predator-prey model with delay, submitted for publication to The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications (AJ-MAA).
- 7- Aly S. Bifurcations in a predator-prey model with diffusion and memory, submitted for publication to International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos (IJBC).
- 8- Aly S., The Second International Workshop Constructive Methods for Non-linear Boundary Value Problems, June 4-6, 2003 Miskolc, Hungary (Abstract).
- 9- Aly S., The Seventh Colloquium on the Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations, July 14-18, 2003 Szeged, Hungary (Abstract).
- 10- Aly S., Farkas M., International Conference: 2004-Dynamical Systems and Applications, July 05-10, 2004, Antalya-Pamukkale (Hierapolis), Turkey (Proceeding).
- 11- Aly S., Farkas M., Turing Bifurcation in patchy environment with cross-diffusion, Int. Conf. on Differential Equations and Applications in Mathematical Biology, July 18-23, 2004, Nanaimo, British Columbia, Canada (Abstract).
- 12- Aly S., Farkas M., ICNODEA, August 24-27, 2004, Cluj-Napoca, Romania (Abstract).
- 13- Aly S., Aplimat 4th International Conference, February 1-4, 2005, Bratislava, Slovak Republic (Proceeding).

MSc. Results

- 1- Mahmoud G. M., Aly S., On periodic solutions of parametrically excited complex nonlinear dynamical systems, Physica A 278 (2000) 390-404.
- 2- Mahmoud G. M., Aly S., Periodic attractors of complex damped nonlinear systems, Int. J. Non-Linear Mech., Vol. 35 6 (2000) 309-323.
- 3- Mahmoud G. M., Mohamed A. A. and Aly S., Strange attractors and chaos control in periodically forced complex Duffing's oscillators, Physica A 292 (2001) 193-206.
- 4- Mahmoud G. M., Aly S., On periodic solutions of parametrically excited complex nonlinear dynamical systems, Int. Conf. on Difference Equations and Applications, August 27-31, 1998, Poznan, Poland (Abstract).